Critical Comments to "Thermal Degradation of Polyurathanes. Model Compounds"

Orzeszko and Kolbrecki¹ carried out the pyrolysis of ethylenediphenylcarbamate in sealed ampoules for 30 min and analyzed the decomposition products by gas chromatography. Aniline, CO_2 , ethylene, CH_4 , CO, and H_2 were identified, among other compounds. Based on these results, these authors conclude that the absence of phenyl isocyanate and glycol in the products seems to confirm the impossibility of dissociation of the urethane bond to the starting materials. Furthermore, they affirm that the main process during pyrolysis of urethane compounds is equimolar production of aniline and CO_2 .

This report appears after a series of well-established investigations²⁻¹² affirming that the principal mechanism of thermal decomposition of polyurethanes constitutes the reverse of the reaction in which they are formed, thus yielding diisocyanate and dialcohol or their secondary degradation products. Direct evidence for the formation of diisocyanate in the thermal decomposition of polyurethanes was obtained recently by Grassie and Zulfiqar¹¹ and by us.¹³

Aniline and CO_2 are well known to be formed by the hydrolysis of phenylisocyanate, which is the primary decomposition product originating from ethylenediphenylcarbamate. The hydrolysis is very likely to occur since the reaction was carried out by Orzeszko and Kolbrecki for 30 min in sealed tubes at 350°C. H₂O is readly produced under these conditions from ethyleneglycole. It is unfortunate that these authors did not realize that their results are not in conflict with those of the previous authors.²⁻¹²

References

1. A. Orzeszko and A. Kolbrecki, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 25, 2969 (1980).

- 2. M. Thorn, Can. J. Chem., 45, 2537 (1967).
- 3. E. Dyer and G. E. Newborn, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 80, 5495 (1958).
- 4. E. Dyer and R. E. Reed, J. Org. Chem., 26, 4388 (1961).
- 5. J. R. Saunders, Rubber Chem. Technol., 32, 337 (1959).
- 6. R. Simha, D. J. Ingham, N. S. Rapp, and J. Hardy, J. Polym. Sci. B, 2, 675 (1964).
- 7. N. S. Rapp and D. J. Ingham, J. Polym. Sci. A, 2, 689, 4941 (1964).
- 8. L. P. Rumao and K. C. Frisch, J. Polym. Sci. A-1, 10, 1499 (1972).
- 9. M. L. Matusak and K. C. Frisch, J. Polym. Sci. Polym. Chem. Ed., 11, 367 (1973).
- 10. H. H. G. Jellinek and K. Takada, J. Polym. Sci. Polym. Chem. Ed., 13, 2709 (1975).
- 11. N. Grassie and M. Zulfiqar, J. Polym. Sci. Polym. Chem. Ed., 16, 1563 (1978).

12. K. J. Vorhees, F. D. Hileman, I. N. Einhorn, and J. H. Futrell, J. Polym. Sci. Polym. Chem. Ed., 16, 213 (1978).

13. A. Ballistreri, S. Foti, P. Maravigna, G. Montaudo, and E. Scamporrino, J. Polym. Sci. Polym. Chem. Ed., 18, 1923 (1980).

A. BALLISTRERI S. FOTI P. MARAVIGNA G. MONTAUDO E. SCAMPORRINO

Institute Dipartimentale di Chimica e Chimica Industriale Università di Catania V. le A. Doria 6 95125 Catania Italy

Received March 2, 1981 Accepted March 23, 1981

Journal of Applied Polymer Science, Vol. 26, 2781 (1981) © 1981 John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

CCC 0021-8995/81/082781-01\$01.00